

EFFECTS Efficacy of Fluoxetine – a randomisEd Controlled Trial in Stroke

Prövarmöte EFFECTS

Stockholm 25 januari 2019



Erik Lundström Associate professor at KI Adj senior lecturer at Uppsala University Chief-investigator EFFECTS



Eva Isaksson RN/ PhD student at KI Trial Manager EFFECTS



Nina Greilert RN TMA EFFECTS



WHY WE MUST FINISH EFFECTS

- 1. FOCUS AND EFFECTS ARE SIMILAR BUT NOT IDENTICAL
- 2. EFFECTS HAS SOME UNIQUE FLAVOURS
- 3. NO SIGNS OF SEVERE SIDE EFFECTS IN FOCUS
- 4. PROBLEMS OF STOPPING STUDIES EARLY



FOCUS VS EFFECTS

FOCUS	EFFECTS
More severe stroke (median NIHSS 6)	Median NIHSS 3
Less organised stroke rehab (1)	More organised rehabiliterion Maybe more own training (?) 1
Less adherence (67%)	More adherence (90?) preliminary data!

⁽¹⁾ Use of time by stroke patients: a comparison of four European rehabilitation centers. Stroke. 2005 Sep;36(9):1977-83. De Wit et al



UNIQUE FLAVOURS OF EFFECTS

- 1. DATA ON PHYSICAL TRAINING
- 2. MEASURE OF COGNITION (MOCA)
- 3. MEASURE MADRS
- 4. POSSIBILITY TO LONG TIME F/U LINKED TO HIGH-QUALITY REGISTRIES IN SWEDEN



NO SIGNS OF SEVERE SIDE EFFECTS IN FOCUS

- BLEEDINGS NO
- FRACTURES IS IT REAL?
- SEIZURES AND FLUOXETINE (?)
- INTERACTION BETWEEN METOPROLOL AND FLUOXETINE IN PAT WITH CARDIAC HEART FAILURE (?)



THE HARM TO STOP STUDIE PREMATURE

- EFFECTS IS POWERED FOR 1,500
 - WHAT IF WE FIND A BORDERLINE SIGNIFICANCE

Analysis

Problems of stopping trials early

BMJ 2012; 344 doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e3863 (Published 15 June 2012)

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2012;344:e3863

77



EFFECTS WILL IMPROVE THE EXTERNAL VALIDITY AND PRECISION OF THE ESTIMATES OF THE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF FLUOXETINE IN ISCHAEMIC AND HAEMORRHAGIC STROKE



DECEMBER = 25

JAN = 29 (AND STILL COUNTING)

FEB

MARS

APRIL 1 500

We must carry on









